

Speaker 3:

Speaker 1: Excuse me, Miss?

Speaker 3: No, I just need a little bit of a pike before I take the medicine.

Speaker 1: Okay, sir, if you just take your time. I don't want you to get sick, so... Yeah, do what you need to do.

Speaker 3: Just pucker the...

Speaker 1: Let me just put this matter back on the record. This is Docket, F.B.03, 1946-22. This is the Flax versus Hun matter. We're continuing the trial. And it's 3.42 p.m. on May 13, 2022. Miss Flax, you're still under oath. Mr. Hahn, you had a question.

Speaker 3: Yeah, I mean, obviously, you know, we're going to have to go to another day or two for this matter. My question is, I brought in my financials from Social Security as per the TRO, but does Miss Flax have the financial information to bring in, you know, in the back of the TRO to please bring in all your financial information?

Speaker 1: I'm not sure, sir. She has to present her case the way she deems it.

Speaker 3: No, no, no. It's actually on the TRO. It says prior with this trial that you're supposed to be bringing in your financial information, or please be prepared to bring in your financial information, I thought?

Speaker 0: No.

Speaker 1: Miss Flax is represented by counsel, so Miss Berger is putting on the case now.

Speaker 3: Can I just double check that, if you don't mind? I thought it was something that was in the package that the police gave me.

Speaker 1: I don't know how that's relevant at this point, sir. I'm only going to consider relevant evidence.

Speaker 3: Okay, I just wanted to make sure that I was being compliant, if that's all your honor.

Speaker 0: Yeah, here we go.

Speaker 1: So, Mr. Hahn, let's continue with the trial.

Speaker 0: We'll figure it out. Yeah, okay. That's fine. Okay.

Speaker 2: All right. Oh, I'm sorry.

Speaker 3: It's right here. No, you must bring financial information, including pay stubs, insurance information, and bills, and mortgage receipts with you to court. This is on the...

Speaker 1: All right, sir.

Speaker 2: Yeah.

Speaker 1: That may be applicable. If there is a request for child support, there's a request for some type of interim support, so I don't find it to be applicable right now. And if Ms. Berger is going to introduce any of that evidence, you surely can, and you have every right to include...

Speaker 3: Okay, thank you, sir.

Speaker 1: ...to do that yourself. I will. Thank you. Probably for a different purpose is what you're reading it. Okay, Ms. Berger, you can continue with the direct examination of your client.

Speaker 0: Thank you. You're welcome. So you had previously testified that he had sent you numerous texts, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Was he also sending you emails? Yes. And what was the tenor of these emails?

Speaker 2: Oh, harassing.

Speaker 0: Was it similar to the text messages? Yes. I'm going to show you, please exhibit 24. 24, and then 34 through 44. And do you recognize all of them?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And what do you recognize them to be?

Speaker 2: The first is a text...

Speaker 0: Just generally, just generally, all of them?

Speaker 2: Oh, emails from blood to me.

Speaker 0: And do you recognize the email address on them? And is that ghan@comcast.net? And at what time frame is he sending you these emails?

Speaker 2: At 2 48, November 16.

Speaker 0: But I'm saying generally. Was this November of 2018? How many times did he email you in November of 2018?

Speaker 2: Several.

Speaker 0: And are these all of the emails he sent to you in November of 2018? So when he couldn't get you by text, what would he do? And did you respond to him most of the time?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Your Honor, I'd like to admit into evidence exhibits 24 and then 34 through 44.

Speaker 1: Any objection, Mr.

Speaker 2: Hahn?

Speaker 3: Okay. So 42, you said 44, right?

Speaker 1: 24 and then 34 through 44.

Speaker 3: Okay. So we have 42, which is that, 43 through 44. And 44 is that. Yeah. No objections.

Speaker 1: Okay. These exhibits are admitted into evidence.

Speaker 0: Thank you. Keep moving on. And just looking at 24, there was an email sent to you on November 15th at 3 32 p.m. telling you, again, trying to object about where the children were having sleepovers, correct? The sleepovers are just normal things that the kids did at that point?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Exhibit 34, November 16th at 2 48 p.m., he's sending you, Lisa Plass complained that Max could not sleep over because she believes that carbon monoxide is coming from the heater. Not only has the carbon monoxide detector not gone off, the kids are here during the day. PSE&G came out and replaced the switch and said the unit was fine. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: It's correct that that's what the email says. Yes.

Speaker 0: And on November 16th, this is exhibit 35, he's sending you a prescription over his opioids. Opiates, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Exhibit 36, I'm sorry, if we go back one to 35, he's saying that he's going to be following up with New Jersey Child Protection Services to do an evaluation. Finally, there's another circumstance with one of her relatives to be documented on a separate communication. Did you have any idea what he was talking about?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Going to exhibit 36, he was telling you he hasn't received tax returns and returned the full bag of animations, sell paperwork. Did you

even know what that was? And that was at 2.55 a.m And then going to exhibit 37, he's telling you again, no one can drive the kids, your brother can't drive the kids. Exhibit 38, he's again sending you emails at 4 a.m. and also 12, 14 a.m. saying, thinking about your mom's heater is old too, she needs to have hers checked as well before the kids can go over her house. Also going on and on about what the kids can and cannot do, is that correct? Exhibit 39, can you explain what he had done to your phone and the kids' phone?

Speaker 2: He changed the password.

Speaker 0: Did he turn off the service?

Speaker 2: He turned off Morgan's phone, yeah.

Speaker 0: And exhibit 39, did he...

Speaker 3: Hold on, hold on, hold on. You're a little bit, I just want to make sure. So exhibit 39, is the iPhone being erased?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 3: And then what did you say that was for?

Speaker 2: For her iPhone being turned off.

Speaker 1: What's your question, sir?

Speaker 3: I'm just trying to figure out, is this something that you're choosing here of doing on this?

Speaker 1: Well, let Ms. Berger finish with...

Speaker 3: Yeah, okay, yeah. I just want to know what...

Speaker 1: Okay, Ms. Berger, you can continue.

Speaker 0: Thank you. Did he try to turn off your phone service?

Speaker 2: He did.

Speaker 0: Oh, no, you know what?

Speaker 2: For my phone, he did, he changed the password and he was accessing it and then finally we got the, we were finally able to get the password to switch stuff over.

Speaker 0: So did you have to get your own phones?

Speaker 2: Yeah, I did get my own phone.

Speaker 0: Okay. And did you ultimately have to get the kids' new phones? I'm going to go to exhibit 40. This is on November 22nd, 11.50 a.m Was he then trying to write emails to the synagogue about you? Going

to exhibit 41, November 22nd, 11.55 a.m. Telling you he's going to drop you from the insurance. Exhibit 42, November 22nd, again. He's asking you whether or not you have chemo brains, correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And did you ask him in this email to stop texting or writing to the kids about what's going on?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Did you also tell him that you were concerned about his drug use and erratic behavior?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Moving to exhibit 43, November 22nd. He's telling you he's going to remove you as a driver. Again, he wants no one to drive the kids. He's no longer making payments on the car, renewing the registration tags.

Speaker 2: Is that correct? Yes.

Speaker 0: Moving to exhibit 44. That's November 27th of 2018. Telling you he canceled payment for the auto insurance policy.

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: How are you feeling about receiving all of this, all of these texts and emails?

Speaker 2: Overwhelmed and scared of what's going to happen next.

Speaker 0: You had said that he was posting on Facebook. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Did he make just one post?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: I'm going to direct your attention to exhibit 30 through 33.

Speaker 3: What was that, please?

Speaker 0: 30 through 33.

Speaker 3: I just want to make sure I mark it down for the cross.

Speaker 0: Do you recognize those documents?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: What do you recognize them to be?

Speaker 2: They're his Facebook notifications.

Speaker 0: Was this a public ... I was just going to say, is this a public page? Who was he sending them to?

Speaker 2: The community of Matt Morrow.

Speaker 0: Was he continuing to reference you in these posts? Was he in any way hiding your identity?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Your Honor, at this time I'm going to seek to admit, and this is again November of 2018, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: I'm going to seek to admit what's been marked playing as exhibit 30, 31, 32, and 33.

Speaker 1: Any objection, Mr. Holmes?

Speaker 3: No. No, not at all.

Speaker 1: Okay. 30, 31, 32, and 33 are moved into evidence.

Speaker 0: Mm-hmm. On 30, he's talking about telling everyone about PSE&G coming out and that he's going to finalize paperwork for divorce and physical custody of the kids. Shout out to other folks. Is that correct? Exhibit 31. He's then giving an update of what they found. Just to wrap up what I'm dealing with, PSE&G gave me a class two violation as one of the flexible pipes had a hole in it from the kitten. They noticed it when they can out and cleaned the air conditioner coils in the inside of the unit. PSE&G came out twice since then to change the door switch, and the soon to be ex-wife called in a gas leak in the middle of the night. They came out and found a leak. She's saying it's unsafe for the kids to be in the house. I've called PSE&G myself. If anyone's interested in the ongoing saga, I think I can do a great blog on this. Just PM me. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Moving to 32. He's continuing to write on the Mt. Laurel Facebook page about you not receiving paperwork. Him not receiving paperwork. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Then going to Exhibit 33. Again, he's posting on the Mt. Laurel Facebook website. Again, talking about you. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: I actually don't have that. I have that somewhere else.

Speaker 0: I apologize. How is it making you feel to have this posted on Facebook?

Speaker 2: Embarrassed. Afraid of what other people are going to think if people are going to believe what he's saying.

Speaker 0: Did he create a GoFundMe? I'm going to turn your attention to Exhibit 45. Do you recognize that document?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Who do you recognize it to be?

Speaker 2: While I was recovering from my first bout of cancer and the coma, Glenn was in a car accident. I heard his leg. So it's a picture of the two of us laying on the couch while recovering with me in my pajamas. It was part of the upper leg.

Speaker 0: Was this in November of 2018? Yes. Did you give him permission to post that?

Speaker 1: Which is good?

Speaker 0: I'm sorry. Oh, thank you. Did he also make accusations against you in that post? Is GoFundMe public? Yes. Is the entire purpose of the site for people to give you money? Yes. Was he making accusations against you in that post?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Were any of them true? Your Honor, at this time I'd like to enter into evidence. Exhibit 45.

Speaker 1: Any objection? Absolutely not, Your Honor. Okay. 45 is moved into evidence.

Speaker 0: What did you find out about your phone in terms of tracking?

Speaker 2: I know that Glen was tracking my phone. He knew where I was.

Speaker 0: What did you find? Oh, that was the other phone. Oh, I'm sorry. What did you find?

Speaker 2: I found a tracker and on the tracker wrapper or box in the back of the mail that I hadn't been given. That was in the drawstring bag of the mail he had hidden, there was a box of a tracker. And then when I did pull up the credit card, was it the banking or the credit card bills, old bills, because then I had to research to find out everything that had happened financially. I could see that it had been billed from a company, that it would be a tracking company to track.

Speaker 3: Sue?

Speaker 0: I'm going to try.

Speaker 1: Do they have an objection, sir?

Speaker 3: What? No, I'm just going to argue this on the cross, Your Honor. I have no objection to this, believe me. If she's talking about this, the passing? Absolutely not.

Speaker 1: No more, sir. It continues.

Speaker 0: I'm going to show you Exhibit 46. Do you recognize it?

Speaker 2: Yeah, this is the box from the tracker.

Speaker 3: And what page is that again?

Speaker 2: And the bill.

Speaker 3: You're referring to the GPS tracking SIM by speed cost, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yeah.

Speaker 0: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I'd like to admit into evidence of exhibit 46.

Speaker 1: Any objection, Mr.

Speaker 3: Hahn? Absolutely not, Your Honor.

Speaker 1: Okay, so move, 46, into evidence.

Speaker 0: So, Ms. Hahn, did you ultimately apply and receive a temporary restraining order? Yes. You're going to direct your attention to exhibit 47? Is that the temporary restraining order you received on November 28th of 2018? Thereafter, did you amend that restraining order?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: I'm going to direct your attention to exhibit 48. Do you recognize it?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Do you recognize that to be your amended temporary restraining order?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And what did you amend it to do?

Speaker 2: To add more information of pest history. Okay.



Speaker 0: And that was December 5th of 2018? Your Honor, I'd like to admit into evidence points to exhibit 47 and 48.

Speaker 1: Mr. Hahn?

Speaker 3: Any objection? Just so that I'm clear, you're talking about these bank statements, is that correct, No, 47 and 48 are the TROs that were filed. Oh, I'm sorry. I fell behind. I'm sorry. 47 and 48 are fine.

Speaker 1: Mr. Hahn, if you need more time, just let me know.

Speaker 3: That went by a little bit too quick for me. I'm sorry.

Speaker 1: Just let me know if you say I need more time, okay?

Speaker 3: Now clearly we're on one exhibit now.

Speaker 0: We've now gone through 47 and 48.

Speaker 3: Okay, so just so I know, 47 and 48 are, is that this TRO or the first one?

Speaker 0: That's the first one.

Speaker 1: From 2018, Mr. Hahn.

Speaker 3: The original one.

Speaker 2: Okay.

Speaker 3: Just want to make sure.

Speaker 2: Okay.

Speaker 1: And they're moved into evidence, right?

Speaker 2: Thank you.

Speaker 3: Yeah, that is correct.

Speaker 1: No issues, right, sir?

Speaker 3: No. I mean, I have no issues with that.

Speaker 1: Moved into evidence.

Speaker 0: So, did you ultimately go forward at that time with that temporary restraining order to a final restraining order hearing?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: We went for...

Speaker 2: No, we didn't have a hearing.

Speaker 0: Okay. And did you eventually dismiss that temporary restraining order? Yes. And did you enter into an order for civil restraint? Yes. And was that on January 3rd of 2019?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: I'm going to direct your attention to Plains Exhibit 49. Do you recognize that?

Speaker 2: Yeah, interestingly, not that stated one. I have it wrong here.

Speaker 0: It's January 3rd of 2019. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And in this, is this the order for civil restraint that you and Mr. Hahn entered into? Yes. And I'm going to direct your attention to page 7. And you both signed this, is that correct? Yes. I'd like to enter into evidence, Plains Exhibit 49.

Speaker 1: Any objection, Mr. Hahn?

Speaker 0: No.

Speaker 3: Since it's already been entered into in 14.4, I have no objections to it now.

Speaker 2: Correct.

Speaker 1: It's moved into evidence.

Speaker 0: Thank you. And under this order for civil restraint, he was prohibited from contacting you and having any communication, writing, telephone, text, email, electronic form. If he was in the same public place, he also had to leave, is that correct? Yes. He wasn't allowed to use a third party to communicate with you as well, is that correct? Yes. Under this particular restraining order, he had limited parenting time, is that correct? Yes. He was also limited in the length he could actually drive. He was not permitted to drive within a 20-mile radius, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: He was supposed to provide updated neurological, orthopedic, and pain management records, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Is that -- he was supposed to require -- he also had financial requirements in this order for civil restraint, as well, is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Did he follow this order for civil restraint?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: How many times do you estimate he has violated it? Very normal. So what was the only form of communication that you were permitted to have under this order?

Speaker 2: Through our family wizard pertaining to the children.

Speaker 0: So did this order for civil restraint stop his behavior? What happened with your -- where did you find your personal property?

Speaker 2: On the curb, in front of the house for trash.

Speaker 0: Was this a violation of the order for civil restraint?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: What happened with the finances?

Speaker 3: Could you -- wait a minute. Hold on for a second. Where were you referencing the thing with the materials out in the trash, Ms. Berger, and Ms. Flax? And what -- which order or which exhibit is that that you're referring to a part of?

Speaker 0: I believe it's her testimony. He was throwing things out in the trash. The order for civil restraint in the paragraph says nothing's supposed to be dissipated, transferred, apothecated, pledged, or in any way affecting marital assets.

Speaker 1: Just give me a second, Mr. Hahn. Which paragraph is that, Ms. Berger?

Speaker 3: I have no idea.

Speaker 1: We're going to ask her.

Speaker 0: Page 7, your honor.

Speaker 1: Page 7. So Mr. Hahn, it's the signature page.

Speaker 3: Okay.

Speaker 1: Okay.

Speaker 3: And I'm looking for it. So I'm just trying to figure out where I'm missing it.

Speaker 1: I'm just trying -- We're going to ask the paragraph 7 on page 7, right at the very top.

Speaker 3: I just want to make sure if I have it for my cross.

Speaker 1: Okay, Ms. Berger.

Speaker 3: So it's 7.

Speaker 0: Did he follow any of the financial requirements of the things he needed to pay? Did he -- was this a violation?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Were letters sent addressing the violations? Yes. What happened with the children in March and April and May of 2019?

Speaker 2: Bailey never went to see -- once she was in part of that, the twins, Morgan and Max. Morgan was invited to go to Florida with a friend over spring break. And the first one had said okay, and then he started saying that he was no-fly-less and kidnapping her. It was a no-cost trip to Universal for her and giving her all kinds of grief about permission to go after he had said she could. And then at one point he was trying to bargain, saying if he's allowed to have Max overnight, then Morgan could go and have bargaining with the two kids.

Speaker 0: Did he have any overnights with either Max or Morgan?

Speaker 2: Not at that point.

Speaker 0: Were there concerns about him having overnights? Did ultimately you have to stop visitation with Max as well?

Speaker 3: Yes.

Speaker 2: Because Glenn took them longer than he was supposed to and out of the --.

Speaker 0: What did the court do with respect to his behavior in June of 2019? Is that when you started --? I started the supervised visitation in June. So the court started supervised visitation? I'm going to direct your attention to exhibit 50. Do you recognize this exhibit? Yes.

Speaker 3: Exhibit 50?

Speaker 0: Yes. And what do you recognize him to be?

Speaker 2: Our family wizard messages between -- well, from Glenn to me.

Speaker 0: What was supposed to be discussed solely on our family wizard?

Speaker 2: The children.

Speaker 0: And was it supposed to be anything beyond really medical appointments and parenting time?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Was he permitted to harass or threaten you on here?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Did he do so?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 3: Okay. So that's in '50s.

Speaker 0: I threatened and harassed her?

Speaker 1: Okay. Just give her a second. Okay. Just wait until she finishes. Go ahead in this program.

Speaker 0: And this is -- these family wizard messages are ones from 2019. Is that correct? Is that every single 2019 message? No. Your Honor, at this time I'd like to enter into evidence placed exhibit 50.

Speaker 1: Any objection, Mr. Chairman? Yeah.

Speaker 3: I would like to see the messages where I threatened and harassed her. This is a whole history of a whole year's worth of messages. I'd like to be very specific. I'll talk to Max and find out if it seems to be threatening and harassing.

Speaker 1: Ms. Berger, do you want to respond to that?

Speaker 3: I'd like to know which ones are threatening and harassing, please.

Speaker 0: Actually, we're going to go through the exhibit. I can go through the exhibit before I seek to admit a judge.

Speaker 3: That's perfectly fine.

Speaker 1: You just want to go through the specific provisions? Not a problem, Judge. I see highlights in yellow.

Speaker 0: Okay. Page 1. It says, "You must really be embarrassed as you're afraid. I see the car when you drop the kids off. Make me suspicious that it is not a safe vehicle for you to be driving our kids in. what is the safety rating of the car. Does it have appropriate airbags, et cetera?".

Speaker 3: Okay. So that was threatening and harassing? So that one is message 21? Hold on.

Speaker 1: Let her go through the testimony. Yeah.

Speaker 2: That's what I'm saying.

Speaker 3: I just want to make sure I'm popping the right message down.

Speaker 1: I believe you're highlighted, sir.

Speaker 0: Okay. I'm going to page 2. "The only way I will agree is for you to remove the 20-mile driving restriction and Max stays with me during spring break.". Is that what you were referring to with respect to Morgan?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Yep. "Then you're not acting as a co-parent. I get to take this to Family Court to show an example of how you can compromise.". Is that correct? Yes. Is this about whether -- are any of these messages about what time the child's going to be there or not be there or whether he can show or not show?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Moving to page 3. "She could always -- your rival found they Tuesday, in which case I gave her permission to travel to Florida as long as she is BAVK by that Sunday.". Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Moving to page 4. "I want to get this modification done so Morgan can go and not miss this once-in-a-lifetime opportunity.". Is that correct? Yes. "Once I can't trust you, I am revoking that letter which made no reference to this specific trip until after the modification has been made first.". Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: "Please reread below. Permission not given until modification is made.".

Speaker 2: Is that correct? Yes.

Speaker 0: Page 5. "I sent a message to Melinda that Morgan does not have my permission, and yes, she needs both of our permissions in order to go on a trip without her parents. Once the modification has been processed, I will then sign the official notarized document for Morgan to travel.". Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Where was Morgan going again?

Speaker 2: Universal Studios in Florida with her best friend. And her mother who was her girlfriend.

Speaker 0: Going into page 6. "While I was on the phone with them, I added the kids to the Do Not Travel list for their protection. We would not want someone to take them away somewhere without both of our

signatures on a notarized document. Surely you can see the benefit of this. I spoke to my brother Steve. He took the divorce order I received from your carrier and also gave him all my medical and counselor letters. He took them to a judge as a test, and since you're a claimed drug addiction, and by the way, thank you for telling everyone I'm a drug addict, I need to mention that at the mediation hearing. The judge ruled you would be responsible for all my legal fees. Just telling you as a heads up to make sure that you are budgeting your money. Do you have any idea what he was talking about? No. Does this have anything to do with parenting your children? "You still owe me \$300, my half of the cancellation fee from the DJ, and \$500 deductible for damages done to my car. According to the judge, it looks like someone hit the car with their fist in the exact area where your cancer badge was.". "You can subtract \$22.43, which is 50% of some old coins I found, and cash them in for face value at the bank. I gave you the extra penny from the split. Thought you would appreciate it.". Those coins, what is he referring to?

Speaker 2: Assuming my father's coin collection.

Speaker 0: Has he given you your father's coin collection to this date?

Speaker 2: That would be worth more than that, though.

Speaker 0: No. Has he been ordered by the court to give you your father's coin collection? Several times. Does this have anything to do with parenting your children?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Moving to page 7. "I would not want you to show any cooperation from when we go back to the courts. My lawyer is no longer billing me. Add the expectation that you are going to be responsible for all legal fees. I just need to decide if I want to get the money back that I already paid or leave it in the account so you would get a lower bill at the end.". Moving to page 8. "I did not want the kids around your brother, so I'll bring that up as well on April 2. You seem to think you can do whatever you want.". Moving to page 9. "Just a reminder, Morgan does not have my permission to go, and the police stated that I can bring them up on kidnapping as she goes with them without my written, notarized permission. I do not get permission for Bailey to go out of state, especially with her brother.". What was Bailey doing at that time?

Speaker 2: Looking at colleges.

Speaker 0: Did she need to go look at colleges? Does this have anything to do with the best interest of your children?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Page 11. "Not withholding clothes.". What did he do to Morgan's clothes?

Speaker 2: He threw them out so that she wouldn't have them for the trip. We had gotten her all those summer extreme clothes.

Speaker 0: He says, "Not withholding clothes. I did not remove any clothes from her room. If she needs clothes, I will be more than happy to take her shopping next weekend.". There's some saya from the garage that I'll place on the perch that I found, including a plate from the dishes. Did he do that?

Speaker 2: I think so.

Speaker 1: Ms. Berger, in order to move this along, I'm going to move this into evidence.

Speaker 0: Thank you. No, no, no.

Speaker 2: I'm not going

Speaker 0: to stop you from

Speaker 1: doing it, Roger. I just want to give everybody an update. In about 10 minutes, I have to stop. Mr. Berg, Mr. Hahn, we'll have somebody help you out to your car, but I can't do it if it's 4.30. I want to stop at about 4.25 to get somebody to help you out, okay?

Speaker 3: Is there really going to be much difference between 4.15 and 4.25?

Speaker 1: Well, I want her to finish. She can, Ms. Berger, so let's try to get her through with our direct, and then when we continue, you can do the cross.

Speaker 0: Okay, Ms. Berger. So did he continue his harassment abuse throughout 2019? Yes. Did this stop in 2020?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: I'm going to show you his plaintiff's exhibit 51. Do you recognize it?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And what do you recognize it to be?

Speaker 2: Some more family wizard messages.

Speaker 0: And in these messages, was he talking about parenting? Your Honor, at this time, are these messages from 2020?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Is it every single message from 2020? No. Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to enter the evidence, plaintiff's exhibit 51.



Speaker 3: No, just let it go through.

Speaker 1: Okay.

Speaker 0: Matter of fact, can you do something, Your Honor?

Speaker 3: If it would make sense, she can actually submit everything dressed as evidence. I'm not going to even challenge it.

Speaker 1: Let's not jump fast just because of the time. So we'll go through P-51.

Speaker 3: I'm getting tired.

Speaker 1: P-51 is moved into evidence. Go ahead, Ms.

Speaker 0: Berger. And I'm just going to highlight just one or two of these. If we can direct your attention to page 7. Please be aware. The Burlington County Prosecutor's Office will be reaching out to you and arrest and process you, Marnie, and Bea. A meeting with an attorney will be, Philly, a personal injury lawsuit against you, Bea, and Marnie. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Page 8.

Speaker 2: And possibly Pam Scott and Steve.

Speaker 0: And going to page 8, is he then telling you and haunting you with your father's coin collection? Yes. Turning your attention to page or plaintiff's exhibit 52, do you recognize it? Wait, 52. Sorry. What was he threatening to do to you in 2020?

Speaker 2: He was threatening aggravated assault charges against me.

Speaker 0: Had you even been in his presence or any way around him, personally alone with him at any point?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Since the restraining order or since you actually left the home in October of 2018?

Speaker 3: No. Excuse me, what page is this that you're looking at?

Speaker 2: 52, right?

Speaker 3: Yes. Okay, this is the aggravated assault. In regards to the email you're referring to, the email contract from PSNG?

Speaker 0: Yes.

Speaker 3: Okay, that one. I just wanted to make sure.

Speaker 0: No problem.

Speaker 3: Thank you very much.

Speaker 0: And do you recognize exhibit 52? Yes. And what do you recognize it to be?

Speaker 2: An email to Ms. Carter.

Speaker 0: Okay, and do you recognize the email address?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And whose email address is that?

Speaker 2: What?

Speaker 0: And this was April of 2020? Yes. And I'd like to enter into evidence exhibit 52.

Speaker 3: Yes, please.

Speaker 0: And in this particular email, he's threatening to file aggravated assault charges against you and telling you that you're going to go to prison. Is that correct?

Speaker 3: Mm-hmm.

Speaker 0: And then moving to exhibit 53, do you recognize that? Yes. What do you recognize it to be?

Speaker 2: Another email to Ms. Berger's paralegal and Ms.

Speaker 0: Berger from Glenn. And was this, you recognized the email address? Yes. And is that Mr. Hahn's email address? And this was May of 2020?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: At this time, I'd like to enter into evidence exhibit 53.

Speaker 1: Move into evidence.

Speaker 3: Yes, that's fine.

Speaker 0: And in this particular email, he's trying to, and again, I provided you both of these emails. Is that correct? Yes. In this email, he's telling you, he's telling me that he's going to have you arrested. You would face several years of prison time unless you were to agree to his offer to settle the divorce. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 3: Yes.

Speaker 0: Directing your attention to page, or plans exhibit 54, do you recognize it? Yes. What do you recognize it to be?

Speaker 2: This is family wizard messages between me and Glenn.

Speaker 0: And these also, are these now in 2021?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: And, Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to enter into, is this every single family wizard message sent in 2021?

Speaker 2: No.

Speaker 0: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to enter into evidence, plans exhibit 54.

Speaker 3: Absolutely no objection to that one.

Speaker 2: Okay.

Speaker 1: Move to page 54.

Speaker 0: Just moving through a couple of things. Page three, he tells you he has multiple cameras in front and back of the house. Is that correct? Yes. Is that some of the cameras that we've seen on the video already? Is he also taunting you with your father's coin collection, and he's going to throw it out and liquidate it?

Speaker 2: Yes, if he doesn't get the \$10,000.

Speaker 0: He actually said to you, I'm going to liquidate your father's coin collection, his multiple watches, your grandmother's clock, and three of your photo albums reflecting your pictures growing up. Do you believe that might be some of the pictures that you found in the bag in the backyard of your home?

Speaker 3: Speculation.

Speaker 1: Sorry. Hold on. Sorry, Your Honor. I'm overruling that. Ms.

Speaker 0: Berger, which page are you from? I'm sorry. Page two, Judge. That's in January, I'm sorry, July of 2021. Is that correct? Yes. He sent you a message saying, based on the ruling, I plan on liquidating your father's coin collection, his multiple watches, your grandmother's clock, and three of your photo albums reflecting your pictures growing up. Is that correct? Yes. Were you still missing pictures from your youth?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: Were you missing family pictures? Yes. And were you missing some of the children's pictures? Yes. Did he refuse to provide them to you? Yes. Do you believe that some of those pictures may be actually in the bag that were ...

Speaker 2: They may be. I can't tell what all those are.

Speaker 0: Right. Are they completely destroyed so that you don't have them? Do you have any of the pictures that were in the house? Thank you. In this, he says that he will give them back if you give him a cashier's check for \$10,000 made out to him. No issues with allowing him to take Max to Disney, and he's giving you a deadline of tomorrow at 6 p.m. After that time, my conscience is cleared to liquidate as I see fit. Is that correct?

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 0: You don't respond to him. He then says to you, "I will dispose of it as I see fit.". Is that correct? Yes. Have you yet seen them? No. He then continues on July 2021, July 27, 2021, telling you he's happier than he ever has been. He's accusing you of having people spy on him on some mobile scooter. Is that correct? Moving to page 6. Sorry. He's still hanging those pictures. Telling you that he's filled out a complaint form with the police. Is that correct? Yes. He then moves to telling you on July 31, 2021, "I just heard the fantastic news about your cancer.". Is that correct? Yes. What had happened at that point in July of 2021?

Speaker 2: My blood counts of my cancer indicators numbers were going up.

Speaker 0: Okay. And then moving to page 9, November of 2021. "Good. That means it is you who is sick. Glad it's not Morgan. Be prepared. I'm going to request access to all your medical records from the court, proving you're mentally unfit with your parental alienation and maliciousness. How long, D, have you left to live? Are you even going to make it to January 7th?". Did he ever stop harassing you for civil restraint?

Speaker 1: Alright, Mr. Hahn, we're going to have to take a break at this point because I can see you're getting tired.

Speaker 3: I'm exhausted. I can't keep up. I'm trying to reference pieces of paper to make sure I've got this file.

Speaker 1: Okay, let her finish her direct examination and just sit tight. Okay? Yeah, I'm just trying to catch up with those two. Just hold off until wrapping up, until we finish, okay?

Speaker 3: Okay. I just want to make sure that I'm...

Speaker 0: What alarms you about the defendant's most recent behavior?

Speaker 2: That he keeps referencing my death and that scorched earth comment and walking into the house with the graffiti that looks like a

murder mystery and then the box that looks like a casket hole with the pictures in it.

Speaker 0: Do you fear for your safety? Do you trust that Mr. Hahn will not hurt you? No.

Speaker 2: If he has the opportunity, I don't know what he's going to do.

Speaker 3: Nothing for you, Your Honor. Can I ask her one question?

Speaker 1: No. We'll stop. You're going to stop now. Okay.

Speaker 0: Do you have the next date or...

Speaker 1: Okay. And there's

Speaker 0: no way I can see Max

Speaker 3: between now and June 6th, right?

Speaker 1: The status quo remains in place, sir.

Speaker 3: Okay. Max is just extremely disappointed, as I am.

Speaker 1: Alright, sir. So that's the end of today's hearing and we're... June 6th? Yeah, June 6th at 1.30.

Speaker 3: Can I ask you one other question, Your Honor?

Speaker 1: Yes, sir. Okay.

Speaker 3: So June 6th we have my thing, but my thing might run two days because I have a lot of stuff that's relevant that needs to go through on this. On June 10th we have a hearing over a motion I just filed with another judge.

Speaker 1: Alright. Well, we'll have to work around this schedule. I don't know if there's any other evidence that you want to put in?

Speaker 0: No, Judge. I'm done.

Speaker 1: I've put all my notes. So it's just now you're doing your first examination and then it's your chance for your direct case.

Speaker 2: Yes.

Speaker 3: That's why I have all these notes that I've been taking and everything else.

Speaker 1: Okay. Alright. So June 6th, 2022 at 1.30. Okay.

Speaker 3: Can I ask you one other question?

Speaker 1: Yes.

Speaker 3: Okay. Is anyone living in the property at 51 Knighton Lane, Ms. Berger?

Speaker 0: It's been sold.

Speaker 3: Okay. Have you told them about the lights that I discussed with Mr.

Speaker 0: Kofsky, the real estate agent? No.

Speaker 1: I don't know. You can raise this if you have to in an email to Ms. Berger.

Speaker 3: It's a safety issue.

Speaker 0: That's all I'm saying.

Speaker 1: The house is sold.

Speaker 3: No, no, no. I don't care about the house at the moment, but I'm concerned about the people living in the house that they might get hurt.

Speaker 1: Okay. Thank you very much. I'll see you at the next court date. The TRO remains in full force and effect. Yes. Mr. Hahn.

Speaker 3: Yeah, that's fine. And I still have contact with Max via phone, right? You didn't take away the phone again?

Speaker 1: Whatever is in place, I'm not making any changes.

Speaker 2: Thank you.

Speaker 3: Yeah, I mean that's not a problem.

Speaker 1: Thank you. Okay. Thank you.